

# **IGSC Safety Case Symposium 2024**

Moving towards the construction of a safe DGR – Getting real

8-10 October 2024

Optional Site tour: 11 October 2024

Hosted by PURAM

## **PROVISIONAL AGENDA**

## **Practical information**

The Meeting will be taking place in Budapest, Hungary

For further information:

<u>Technical Secretariat:</u> Soufiane MEKKI (<u>Soufiane.Mekki@oecd-nea.org</u>) and Zhuoran LI

(Zhuoran.Li@oecd-nea.org)

<u>Administrative Secretariat:</u> John Stein (<u>John.Stein@oecd.org</u>)

The symposium webpage:

<u>Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) - Safety Case Symposium 2024: Moving towards the</u>
<u>construction of a safe DGR – Getting real</u>

## **PROGRAMME**

(Please note this programme is subject to change)

Symposium Chairs: IGSC co-Chairs Manuel Capouet (Ondraf/Niras, Belgium) and Ulrich Noseck (GRS, Germany)

## MONDAY 7 OCTOBER 2024 | 17:30-18:30

## MEETING OF THE YOUNG PROFESSIONAL NETWORK REPRESENTATIVES

First in-person meeting of nominated young professionals who contributed to symposium planning Chairs: Julie Brown, Lucy Bailey and Sylvie Voinis

	Chairs. Julie Brown, Lucy Balley and Sylvie Volitis					
	DAY 1					
	TUESDAY 8 OCTOBER 2024					
	SESSION 1 – OPENING REMARKS					
	Chairs: Manuel Capouet and Ulrich Noseck					
8:30	1.1	Welcome from PURAM  Managing Director, PURAM				
8:40	1.2	Welcome from the NEA				
		William. D. Magwood IV, Director-General, NEA (TBC)				
8:50	1.3	Welcome from the Symposium co-chairs and IGSC activities  Manuel Capouet and Ulrich Noseck, Symposium co-Chairs				
		SESSION 2 – KEYNOTE LECTURE: SAFETY CASE DEVELOPMENT IN HUNGARY				
		Chairs: Manuel Capouet and Ulrich Noseck				
9:00	2.1	The role of the safety case in the implementation of the Hungarian national programme  Balint Nos, PURAM (Hungary)				
9	ESSIO	N 3 – ROLE & EVOLUTION OF THE SAFETY CASE WHEN MOVING TO IMPLEMENTATION				
		Chairs: Ann-Kathrin Leuz, Thomas Kämpfer				
		Rapporteur: Thomas Kämpfer				
9:30	3.1	Use of the performance assessment at WIPP over time				
		Tom Peake, US-EPA (USA)				
9:50	3.2	The safety case at the time of implementation and authorisation of a DGR: experience from Finland				
		Barbara Pastina et al., Posiva Oy (Finland)				
10:10	3.3	From construction towards operation – Regulatory perspective to deep geological disposal in Finland				
		Jarkko Kyllönen et al., STUK (Finland)				
10:30	10:30 COFFEE BREAK (30 min)					
11:00	3.4	Preparing the safety case for technology authorisation and co-existence with site investigations, construction and operation				
		Johannes Johansson & Allan Hedin, SKB (Sweden)				
11:20	3.5	Submission of the application for authorisation to create Cigéo the result of "one generation study": where do we come from? where do we stand?				

		Sylvie Voinis et al., ANDRA (France)
11:40	3.6	Lessons learned from Cigéo licensing process in France
		Olivier Lareynie et al., ASN (France)
12:00	3.7	Questions & Answers
		Audience
12:40		LUNCH BREAK (80 min)
		SESSION 4 – RETURN OF EXPERIENCES FROM NUCLEAR FACILITIES TO DGR
		Chairs: Sylvie Voinis, Philipp Herold
14:00	4.1	KEYNOTE LECTURE 1 -TBC  Gerard Bruno, IAEA
14:20	4.2	KEYNOTE LECTURE 2 - Learning from experiences in mining  Jonathan Kindlein, BGE (Germany)
14:40	4.3	Development of the safety case for nuclear installations
		John Nakoski et al. (NEA Division of Nuclear Safety Technology and Regulation)
15:00	4.4	Role of the safety case for the LILW repository in Loviisa, Finland
		Olli Nummi, Fortum Power & Heat Oy, Espoo (Finland)
15:20	4.5	Study of operational safety designs and assessment: lessons learnt from NUMO safety case Satoru Suzuki et al. NUMO (Japan)
15 :40	4.6	Operational safety and safety assessment – Lessons learnt from the operation of existing facilities
		Philipp Herold et al. BGE (Germany)
16:00	4.7	Questions & Answers
		Audience
16:15		COFFEE BREAK (30 min)
		SESSION 5 – YOUNG PROFESSIONAL SESSION
		Chairs: Julie Brown, Lucy Bailey, Sylvie Voinis
16:45	5.1	Knowledge transfer and career development in the safety case community  Lucy Bailey, Lucia Gray, NWS (UK)
17:05	5.2	Lessons learnt on the management of risks and uncertainties in the post-closure safety assessment and the use of Features Events & Processes (FEPs) in a top-down approach Nicolas Bruyer et al., Andra (France)
17:25	5.3	Lessons learned on competence building from EURAD and looking forward to EURAD2  Niels Belmans , EURAD
17:45	5.4	Panel  Julie Brown, Lucy Bailey, Sylvie Voinis, Christophe Depaus, Niels Belmans
18:05		END OF DAY 1
		GALA DINNER

	DAY 2 - WEDNESDAY 9 OCTOBER 2024							
	SESSION 6 - PARALLEL SESSIONS							
	REGU	SESSION 6.1 –  JLATOR, IMPLEMENTER AND STAKEHOLDER  DIALOGUE  Chairs: Lucy Bailey, Jens Mibus  Rapporteur: TBC	SESSION 6.2 – DISPOSAL OF UNCONVENTIONAL & LEGACY WASTE Chairs: Manuel Capouet, Virginie Wasselin Rapporteur: Peter Molnar			SESSION 6.3 – UPDATES FROM NATIONAL PROGRAMMES AND SAFETY CASES Chairs: Sylvie Voinis, Ulrich Noseck Rapporteur: Joe Rustick		
08:30	6.1-1	Stakeholder interaction: lessons learned by the state regulator of the waste isolation pilot plant Megan McLean, New Mexico Environment Department (USA)	6.2-1	Questions raised by the management of "in-between waste": challenging issues in application of the graded approach in France  Virginie Wasselin, et al., Andra (France)	6.3-1	Development of a site-specific safety case for a Canadian deep geological repository for used fuel  Mark Gobien, NWMO (Canada)		
08:50	6.1-2	An "out-of-the-box" look into scenarios  Jarmo Lehikoinen, et al., STUK (Finland)	6.2-2	IAEA technical publication on the challenges and options for the disposal of reactor graphite waste Karina Lange, IAEA	6.3-2	Preliminary considerations on integrated safety case development during the construction of Beishan URL in China  Xudong LIU, Ju WANG, Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology (China)		
09:10	6.1-3	The meanings of "safety"  Stephan Hotzel & Martin Navarro, BASE (Germany)	6.2-3	UK experience in enabling optimisation of site end-states and radioactive waste management  Juliet Long, NDA (UK)	6.3-3	A Dutch rock salt conditional safety & feasibility study  Jeroen Bartol, et al., COWRA (Netherlands)		
09:30	6.1-4	Optimising the safety case based on input from outside the safety case community – can it work?  Klaus J. Röhlig, et al., TU-Clausthal (Germany)	6.2-4	Technical and regulatory considerations in the long-term management of unconventional and legacy radioactive waste  David Esh, Christepher McKenney, US NRC (USA)	6.3-4	The status of, and challenges to, developing safety cases for disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste in the United States  Bret W. Leslie & Chandrika Manepally, U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (USA)		
09:50	6.1-5	Shaping a generic roadmap for regulator- implementer dialogue in licensing deep geological repositories (DGRs)  Julie Brown et al., CNSC (Canada)	6.2-5	Outcomes of the graded approach group  Cyril Hemery et al., ANDRA (France)	6.3-5	Post-closure safety in site-evaluation - UK GDF programme  Sarah Vines & Kurt Smith NWS (UK)		
10:10	6.1-6	Questions & Answers with audience	6.2-6	Questions & Answers with audience	6.3-6	Questions & Answers with audience		
10:25		COFFEE BREAK (20 min)						

	SESSION 7 - PARALLEL SESSIONS					
	SESSION 7.1 – SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION Chairs: Manuel Capouet Rapporteur: Johan Anderson		SESSION 7.2 – WASTE FROM NEXT GENERATION REACTORS  Chairs: Tom Peake, Ulrich Noseck Rapporteur: Emily Stein		SESSION 7.3 – SAFETY CASE: MOVING FORWARD IN THE FACE OF UNCERTAINTY  Chairs: Frederic Bernier, Doug llett	
10:45	7.1-1	Regulatory research on copper corrosion processes in the context of a Canadian used fuel canister design, for deep geological disposal  Colleen O. Harper, et al., CNSC (Canada)	7.2-1	Overview presentation on TRISO, metallic fuel and their wastes, molten salt  Edward Matteo, SNL (USA)	7.3-1	A systematic approach to scenario development for long-term safety assessments for a high-level waste (HLW) repository concept in German crystalline rock  Andree Lommerzheim et al., BGE-Tec(Germany)
11:05	7.1-2	Containment performance analysis for the surface repository at Dessel, Belgium  Elise Vermariën et al., ONDRAF/NIRAS (Belgium)	7.2-2	Potential packaging options for advanced reactor spent nuclear fuel  Gordon Petersen, INL (USA)	7.3-2	Methodology of scenario development for risk assessment of a deep geological repository for high-level radioactive waste in Korea  Jaehyeon Yang, et al., KHU (Korea)
11:25	7.1-3	EURAD-GAS: Overview of knowledge gained on gas transport in clayey materials  Severine Levasseur et al., EURAD	7.2-3	The assessment of disposability of GenIV reactor waste to the Finnish HLW repository ONKALO and brief overview on EURAD activities  Paula Keto et al., VTT (Finland)	7.3-3	Analysis of safety attributes of potential host rocks for geological disposal of ILW and HLW  Christoph Depaus & Hervé Van Baelen, ONDRAF- NIRAS (Belgium)
11:45	7.1-4	Development of an integrated realistic radionuclide migration model for the entire geological disposal system  Keisuke Ishida, et al. NUMO (Japan)	7.2-4	Approaches being used in SFWST to evaluate the back-end of the fuel cycle aspects for the potential advanced reactor SNF  Dave Sassani, SNL (USA)	7.3-4	Sensitivity analyses in safety assessments for geologic disposal facilities: an international collaboration  Emily Stein, et al., SNL (USA)
12:05	7.1-5	Study of hydrological conditions at the geosphere-biosphere interface and development of alternative models for biosphere assessment – a regulatory perspective  Shulan Xu, et al., Xu Environmental Consulting AB (Sweden)	7.2-5	Dry Storage of spent TRISO fuel – 30 years of experience  Linus Bettermann, GNS (Germany)	7.3-5	GeneSiS: Moving from generic to site-specific safety cases  Lucia Gray et al. NWS (UK)
12:25	7.1-6	Questions & Answers with audience	7.2-6	Questions & Answers with audience	7.3-6	Questions & Answers with audience
12:40		LUNCH BREAK (80 min)				

14:00	6.2.7	Rapporteur + Expert 1 panel parallel session A (Unconventional and legacy waste)
14.00	0.2.7	Participants: TBC
14:45	7.2.7	Rapporteur + Expert 2 panel parallel session B (Waste from new technologies )
14.43	,.2.,	Participants: Edward Matteo (SNL), Dave Sassani (SNL), Gordon Petersen (NL), Linus Bettermann (GNS), Timothy
		Schatz (VTT, TBC)
15:30		COFFEE BREAK (20 min)
		SESSION 8: DERIVATION AND MANAGEMENT OF CRITERIA AND REQUIREMENTS
		Chairs: Thomas Kämpfer, Klaus J. Röhlig
15:50	8.1	Keynote Lecture - Interaction between safety analysis and technical requirements
13.30	0.1	Jonathan Kindlein, Matthias Mohlfeld, BGE mbH (Germany)
16:20	8.2	Importance of the interaction between developing the safety case and requirements management
10.20	0.2	in the 'design for safety' process for disposal solutions – a summary of work performed within
		EURAD, taking advantage of the collaboration with the NEA / IGSC
		Piet Zuidema, EURAD
16:40	8.3	Nagra's safety argumentation for the general licence application for the Swiss deep geological
		repository
	_	Priska Hunkeler, et al. Nagra (Switzerland)
17:00	8.4	Repository construction and safety assessment – towards a holistic approach. IGSC's MeSA-2 initiative
		Klaus J. Röhlig et al., TU-Clausthal (Germany)
17:20	8.5	Questions & Answers
		Audience
		SESSION 9 –IDKM
		Chairs: Alex J. Carter, Doug llett
		Rapporteur: Stephan Hotzel, TBC
17:40	9.1	Keynote Lecture
17.40	5.1	Advances in data management technology: transferring the benefits from big tech to the nuclear
		knowledge management domain
		James Grover, Solutions Architect, Capgemini
18:10		END OF DAY 2 presentations
18:10-		Poster Session & Reception
21:10		

		DAY 3 THURSDAY 10 OCTOBER 2024
		SESSION 9 – IDKM (continued) Chairs: Alex J. Carter, Doug Illett
08:30	9.2	The IDKM Working Party  Alexander J. Carter (IDKM WP Chair)
08:50	9.3	Experiences on the preservation of essential information, data and knowledge gathered throughout repository implementation and operation  Ulrich Noseck, et al. (EGAR)
09:10	9.4	Digital Safety Cases: Digital approaches to managing safety case documents, data, and models  Alexander J. Carter et al. NWS (UK)
09:30	9.5	Designing a knowledge management approach for the French DGR project "Cigéo" to be integrate' with Andra's organisational strategy for the preservation and transmission of key knowledge over time  Vincent. Maugis, et al., Andra (France)
09:50	9.6	The Children of Atom – using terrestrial or extraterrestrial legacy arks as part of multi-modal semiotic strategy to communicate with the future  Muhammad Haroon Bilal Ali Khan, Leiden University (Netherlands)
10:10	9.7	Questions & Answers  Audience
10:30		COFFEE BREAK (20 min)
		SESSION 10 – WRAP-UP AND CLOSING OF SYMPOSIUM Symposium co-Chairs: Manuel Capouet and Ulrich Noseck
10:50	10.1	Feedback from Parallel Session rapporteurs (6 x 7,5 minutes per Session Rapporteur)  Session Rapporteurs
11:50	10.2	Feedback from young professionals  Rapporteurs from young professionals network
12:10	10.3	Final Discussion  Audience
12:30	10.4	Closing Remarks of the Symposium co-Chairs
12h40		SYMPOSIUM ADJOURN

	POSTER SESSION
SESSIC	N 4: LEARNING FROM OPERATING DISPOSAL FACILITIES (OPTIMISATION, OPERATIONAL SAFETY)
P4.1	A study of operational safety countermeasures and risk assessment for the waste transport systems using shaft and straight ramp  Tetsuhiro ICHIMURA, et al., NUMO (Japan)
P4.2	Demonstrating long-term environmental safety of on-site disposals: experience from the TRAWSFYNYDD MAGNOX reactor site  Christopher M. Herbert, et al., GSL (UK)
P4.3	Activities within the Expert Group on operational safety (EGOS)  Philipp Herold et al. BGE (EGOS chair)
	SESSION 5: YOUNG GENERATION NETWORK
P5.1	Supporting and developing the next generation of young professionals at Nuclear Waste Service  Danielle Jackson & Celia Wighton, NWS (UK)
P5.2	Microbial consumption of geological disposal facility (GDF) in lower strength sedimentary rocks and implications for long-term GDF performance  Bethan Payne, NWS (UK)
P.5.3	The management of risks and uncertainties in the post-closure safety assessment and the use of FEP's in a top-down approach  Buryer Nicolas, Andra (France)
	SESSION 6.1: REGULATOR, IMPLEMENTOR AND STAKEHOLDER DIALOGUE
P6.1.1	Long-term information preservation and the safety case, a litmus test of the influence of social science on the licencing process?  Carl-Henrick Petterson, SSM (Sweden)
P6.1.2	Expert Advisory Panel and its activities in the final site selection process in the Czech Republic Markéta Dohnálková, SÚRAO (Czechia)
P6.1.3	Development of new Swedish regulations for nuclear safety and radiation protection in connection with geological disposal of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive wastes  Bo Strömberg, SSM (Sweden)
P6.1.4	Decisional reversibility and technical retrievability for a geological disposal : ethical issues  Christophe Depaus, ONDRAF/NIRAS & Céline Kermisch, University of Brussels (Belgium)
P6.1.5	The French summary memory file for the Manche repository: combining stakeholder dialogue and expertise to meet safety related regulatory requirements  Florence. Poidevin, Andra (France)
	SESSION 6.3: UPDATES OF NATIONAL PROGRAMMES AND SAFETY CASES
P6.3.1	Developing a safety case for a low-level radioactive waste disposal facility  Robert Kingsbury & Michael Labriola, CNL (Canada)
SES	SION 7.1: SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION
P7.1.1	IGSC GeneSiS safety function/concept catalogue  Tom Peake et al., (GeneSiS project)
P7.1.2	Updating of the safety case for surface and near-surface radioactive waste repositories in operation (Richard, Bratrství and Dukovany) - part of the safety assessments, partial outputs 2022-2024  Milan Touš et al. SÚRAO (Czechia)
P7.1.3	Performance assessment for the Czech concept of a spent fuel waste disposal package  Zdena Lahodová, et bal., SÚRAO (Czechia)
P7.1.4	Geologic disposal safety assessment (GDSA) framework: an open-source software toolkit  Heeho Park & David Fukuyama, US-DOE (USA)
P7.1.5	An alternative conceptual model for radiolysis effects on chemical conditions in salt repositories  Anderson Ward, US-DOE (USA)
P7.1.6	Quality assurance methods in safety assessment Slimane Doudou, Mark Crawford, GSL (UK) and Manuel Capouet, Ondraf/Niras (Belgium)

P7.1.7	IGSC MeSA-2 results: Process view and evolution along a disposal programme			
	Lucy Bailey, (MeSA 2-project)			
P7.1.8	Actinide sorption on iron: considerations for safety cases in salt repositories			
	Jay Santillan, US-DOE & Janet Schramke, BSC&A Arlington (USA)			
P7.1.9	Overview of Canada's independent and strategic regulatory research on geological disposal			
	Jeremy Rimando et al., CNSC, (Canada)			
P7.1.10	Is illitization a safety concern for bentonite backfilled Engineered Barrier System for a			
	repository of high-level radioactive waste?  Liange Zheng US-DOE (USA)			
	Semi-probabilistic radiological consequence analysis			
P7.1.11	László Molnár, et al., PURAM (Hungary)			
	Geosphere model calibration in safety assessment based on detailed site-specific data			
P7.1.12	Gyola Danko et al. PURAM (Hungary)			
	Application of machine learning for a systematic simplification process of realistic 3D			
P7.1.13	radionuclide migration model for post-closure safety assessment			
	Takafumi Hamamoto NUMO (Japan)			
	SESSION 7.3: SAFETY CASE: MOVING FORWARD IN THE FACE OF UNCERTAINTY			
	The role of a probabilistic uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in the Safety case for the Loviisa			
P7.3.1	LILW repository 2018			
	Frans Jansson, Fortum Power & Heat Oy, Espoo, (Finland)			
P7.3.2	From generic to site-specific safety cases: development of topic specific guidance			
17.5.2	Ulrich Noseck, et al. (GeneSiS project)			
	Reducing key safety case uncertainties in a multibarrier system with poorly indurated clay and			
P7.3.3	concrete			
	Erika Neeft, et al., COVRA (Netherlands)			
	Human intrusion scenarios in the safety case: comparing and contrasting repositories in			
P7.3.4	crystalline rock versus sedimentary basins			
	Jonathan Major, US-DOE (USA)			
P7.3.5	Scenario Development: A systematic approach to scenario development and assessment			
	Oliver Hall et al., NWS (UK)  GeneSiS and EGSSC: developing a safety case ontology			
P7.3.6	Lucia Gray (NWS), et al. (GeneSiS project chair)			
	RPPCR PA based sensitivity calculation – sampling of uncertain parameters			
P7.3.7	Xinyue Tong &, Tom Peake, US-EPA (USA)			
	Enhancing decision-making in the site selection process for a deep geological repository in			
P7.3.8	Germany: An application of the Analytic Network Process (ANP) decision technique			
	Hajar El Fatihi et al. RWTH-Aachen (Germany)			
P7.3.9	Inadvertent human intrusion: Applying the HIDRA methodology			
P7.5.9	Oliver Hall (NWS), Tim Hicks (GSL), Sally Scourfield (GSL), Slimane Doudou (GSL) and Lucy Bailey (NWS)			
P7.3.10	Human reliability in the German site selection for a nuclear waste repository			
17.5.10	Fabian Fritsch, Oliver Sträter, University of Kassel (Germany)			
	SESSION 8: DERIVATION AND MANAGEMENT OF CRITERIA AND REQUIREMENTS			
	An integrated approach to environment, safety, security and safeguards (E3S) and the role of			
P8.1	requirements in safety led design			
	Tom Jackson-Burton et al. NWS (UK)			
P8.2	IGSC MeSA-2 results: Information flow when producing a safety case and design requirements			
Γ0.Ζ	Jonathan Kindlein et al., BGE (Germany)			
P8.3	Hierarchical safety function system to derive design criteria			
Zoltan Bothi, WSP Hungary Consulting 2rt.(Hungary)				
	SESSION 9: IDKM			
DO 4	Set of Essential Records (SER) - A mechanism to preserve essential information about a			
P9.1	repository to future generations			
	Jozsef Fekete et al. (EGAR)			